

Inverted irrigation hook for descemetorhexis in endothelial keratoplasty: Instrument design and surgical technique

Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes¹ , Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete¹ , Marília Rocha Costa² , Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira^{1,3} 

1. Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil.

2. Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

3. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil.

Dear Editor,

Following the inception of endothelial transplants, especially Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK), surgical methodologies have significantly evolved. The descemetorhexis technique, has become indispensable in both Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) and DMEK, facilitating a smoother integration of the graft into the recipient's stromal interface. Initially, anterior chamber air injections were used for descemetorhexis⁽¹⁾. Subsequently, cohesive viscoelastics⁽²⁾, anterior chamber maintainers⁽³⁾, or a combinations of these techniques have been used. Each of these modalities have their distinct advantages and challenges. Given the lack of consensus on a superior technique, we have delineated a straightforward and readily accessible instrument to refine the descemetorhexis technique.

The described instrument is an inverted irrigation hook that has been crafted in a cannula configuration. It obviates the need for viscoelastic application and its subsequent removal or the employment of an anterior chamber maintainer. Technical illustrations, dimensions, and design of the instrument are provided in figure 1. The instrument is available in both disposable and reusable metal forms (Figure 2). A 136° angulation ensures ergonomic operation, mirroring the familiar in-




Figure 1. Technical scheme and measurements of the inverted irrigation hook (lateral view).




Figure 2. Inverted metal irrigation hook. The posterior orifice allows for the maintenance of the anterior chamber. The orifice was placed closer to the hook than in the hook depicted in figure 1. The closer the orifice to the tip, the better.

Submitted for publication: July 11, 2024

Accepted for publication: July 17, 2024

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest: The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Corresponding author: Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes.
E-mail: luizfelipelynch@hotmail.com

 This content is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

verted Sinsky hook and thereby reducing the learning curve associated with its adoption. Its 10-mm length adequately spans the requisite corneal area, and the cannula's design simplifies its integration with universally compatible serum infusion systems that utilize gravity-fed pressure regulation. The strategic placement of an inferior orifice preserves anterior chamber integrity without supplementary devices, which is essential for maintaining proximity to the hook tip and preventing exterior displacement during incisional procedures and anterior chamber instability.

The irrigated inverted hook is used during descemetorhexis. Routine practice involves a partial incision, measuring either 2.4 mm or 2.75 mm, and an internal corneal entry point of approximately 1.2-1.5 mm. This will minimize balanced saline solution (BSS) loss and maintain anterior chamber stability. Although surgeon preference dictates paracentesis execution, it is recommended after descemetorhexis. After placing a myostatic, the irrigated inverted hook is coupled to a universal equipment connected to a BSS. The bottle is placed at the height of a standard support, and it can be raised or lowered according to intraoperative needs. Careful insertion and operation of the hook are paramount, especially near the incision, to prevent orifice occlusion and subsequent anterior chamber destabilization. Subsequently, the incision is enlarged and the corneal transplant is inserted.

Variations in the techniques of descemetorhexis exist because it is a new and constantly evolving surgery. Although the use of air simplifies the visualization of the Descemet membrane detachment, it risks anterior chamber lowering and iris or lens contact with the inverted hook. Anterior chamber maintainers offer stability. However, they necessitate additional handling and surgical steps. Viscoelastic agents are the safest choice owing to their ability to maintain anterior chamber stability. Therefore, they are advantageous for intricate surgical scenarios or when treating phakic individuals. Nonetheless, viscoelastic substances are a costlier and more tedious option due to the potential for endothelial graft displacement in instances of incomplete removal^(4,5). The inverted irrigated hook mitigates these issues by ensuring anterior chamber stability, reducing ocular manipulation, and decreasing overall costs. For surgeons utilizing viscoelastic agents, it also lessens the risk of graft dislocation due to residual material. In conclusion, herein, we have introduced a novel, cannula-shaped inverted irrigated hook that has been designed for

simplicity, affordability, and efficacy in DSAEK/DMEK procedures, with the ultimate aim of reducing costs and complication risks.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS:

Significant contribution to conception and design: Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Data acquisition:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Data analysis and interpretation:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Manuscript drafting:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Significant intellectual content revision of the manuscript:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Final approval of the submitted manuscript:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Marília Rocha Costa, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Statistical analysis:** not applicable. **Obtaining funding:** not applicable. **Supervision of administrative, technical, or material support:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes, Maria Isabel Lynch Gaete, Rodrigo Pessoa Cavalcanti Lira. **Research group leadership:** Luiz Felipe Lynch de Moraes.

REFERENCES

1. Kruse FE, Laaser K, Cursiefen C, Heindl LM, Schlötzer-Schrehardt U, et al. A stepwise approach to donor preparation and insertion increases safety and outcome of Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2011;30(5):580-7.
2. Lekhanont K, Pisitpayat P, Cheewaruangroj N, Jongkhajornpong P, Nonpassopon M, Anothaisintawee T. Outcomes of Descemet Membrane Endothelial Keratoplasty in Bangkok, Thailand. *Clin Ophthalmol* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 may 22];15:2239-51. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8180280/>
3. Mehta JS, Hantera MM, Tan DT. Modified air-assisted descemetorhexis for Descemet-stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34(6):889-91.
4. Anshu A, Plancharad B, Price MO, da R Pereira C, Price FW Jr. A cause of reticular interface haze and its management after descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2012;31(12):1365-8.
5. Vira S, Shih CY, Ragusa N, Sheyman A, Feder R, et al. Textural interface opacity after descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty: a report of 30 cases and possible etiology. *Cornea*. 2013;32(5):e54-9.