Association between keratoconus, ocular allergy, and sleeping behavior
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ABSTRACT | Purpose: To compare the severity and laterality of keratoconus according to allergic rhinitis, scratching and sleeping habits, and manual dexterity. Methods: Objective assessments regarding allergic rhinitis, eye itching, and sleeping position among patients with keratoconus (diagnosed based on corneal tomography) were conducted. Diagnostic criteria and classification were based on the Amsler-Krumeich classification. Results: Ocular pruritus was reported by 29 of 34 participants (85.29%). Eighteen participants (62.07%) reported equal scratching of both eyes, six (20.69%) more on the right eye, and five (17.24%) more on the left eye. Comparison of the main sleeping position and the eye with more severe presentation of the disease using Fisher’s exact test revealed some correlations (0.567 and 0.568 in the right eye, and 0.567 and 0.568 in the right and left eye, respectively). However, these correlations were not statistically significant. Conclusions: The association between higher keratometry values and sleeping position appears to be more significant than that reported between keratometry and itching, or manual dexterity.
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is the most common ectasic corneal disease. It is a noninflammatory disease characterized by a focal thinning of the cornea with increased corneal curvature due to the reduced biomechanical strength of the corneal collagen fibers. This condition ultimately leads to decreased visual acuity. Keratoconus is a progressive disease, especially in the first decade of life when the cornea exhibits less rigidity\(^{1-6}\). Although asymmetric, the disease is bilateral\(^{7}\). In a review of genetic studies, the majority of keratoconus in families present an autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern with a known genomic loci\(^{1}\).

The prevalence of keratoconus in the general population is approximately 1 in 2,000 individuals (0.05%)\(^{7}\). Its etiology is multifactorial, combining environmental, genetic, and behavioral factors. Of note, its distribution differs worldwide; countries with less sun exposure have a lower prevalence than those with greater exposure\(^{1-6}\). However, it is thought that the higher prevalence may be attributed to ethnic and behavioral characteristics rather than direct sun exposure. However, the association between atopy and the act of eye rubbing has been esta-
blished as a trigger for the disease development and pro-
gression. In numerous studies, half of the participants
with keratoconus reported that they rub their eyes,
although these findings are variable in the literature.
Other influential factors are the frequency and intensity
of eye rubbing\cite{8-11}.

Microtrauma caused in the epithelium through the
friction of the corneas generates high levels of matrix
metalloproteinases (MMPs) (i.e., MMP-1 and MMP-13)
and inflammatory mediators, including interleukin-6
(IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-5 (TNF-5). The release
of these factors is part of the processes that lead to
the manifestation and progression of the disease. These
processes include apoptosis of keratocytes as a result
of the increased levels of IL-1 with subsequent loss of
stromal volume\cite{5,8}.

Other factors, such as ethnicity, geographic location
(possible exposure to ultraviolet radiation), and socioe-
conomic factors, are controversial\cite{1-4}. Associations be-
tween keratoconus and other conditions, such as floppy
eyelid syndrome (FES) and obstructive sleep apnea, were
described in some studies presented at the Association
for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Meeting in
2012\cite{12,13}. The strongest association was found with FES
compared with sleep apnea. This finding could be due to
the fact that the compression of eyes on the pillow may
be sufficiently strong, leading to changes in the palpe-
bral tarsus structure and keratoconus development\cite{12,13}.

The purpose of this study was to verify the relationship
between the severity of keratoconus, eye rubbing, slee-
ping habits, manual dexterity and allergic rhinitis.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

This was a non-interventional comparative case se-
ries, conducted between May 2015 and July 2016 in the
Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences of
the Federal University of São Paulo (São Paulo, Brazil).
The study included individuals with keratoconus who
were candidates for intrastromal corneal ring surgery.
The study was approved by the Institutional Review
Board (number: 1.636.206) and followed the tenets
of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided
written informed consent.

**Participants**

Individuals with documented keratoconus were en-
rolled. The exclusion criteria were any previous ocular
surgery, pregnancy or breastfeeding, presence of corneal
degenerations (except keratoconus), and other ocular
diseases that could influence the ocular examination.
Individuals with major comorbidities, such as diabetes
and collagen-related diseases, were also excluded.

The diagnosis of keratoconus was based on corneal
tomography mapping (mean simulated keratometry
>45.2 diopter (D), central corneal power >47.2 D, or
inferior-superior asymmetry >1.4 D) using a Pentacam
(OCULUS Optikgerate GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

**Measurements**

An examiner asked the participants objective questions
regarding allergic rhinitis, eye rubbing, manual dexterity,
and sleeping position. Another examiner collected the
corneal tomography data of both eyes. We used the si-
mulated central keratometry data of each eye, maximum
keratometry (Kmax) in each eye, and Amsler-Krumeich
classification for the analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were collected and presented in contingency
tables. Means ± standard deviation and frequencies (pro-
portions) were presented for continuous and catego-
rical variables, respectively. For categorical variables,
between-group analysis was conducted at each follow-up
visit using Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables
were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test and the
Pearson coefficient was used for correlation analysis.
Statistical analysis was conducted using the Stata v.14
software (College Station, TX, USA), and p-values <0.05
indicated statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

A total of 34 individuals were evaluated, of whom 14
were females (41.2%) and 20 were males (58.8%). The
mean ± standard deviation age was 26.5 ± 7.5 years
(range: 17-49 years; median: 25.5 years). There was a
weak negative correlation between age and Kmax values
of the right eye (Pearson correlation coefficient: -0.321)
and left eye (-0.189). There were no associations between
age and the preferred eye for rubbing and preferred side
for sleeping.

Table 1 presents the main characteristics of the study
participants. The presence of allergic rhinitis and ocular
itching was reported in 26 (76.5%) and 29 (85.3%)
participants, respectively. All participants with allergic
rhinitis reported ocular pruritus. Regarding the prefer-
red rubbing eye, the distribution among the right, left,
and both sides was similar. Almost half of the participants were unsure of their preferred eye. When asked, 14 participants (41.2%) responded that they sleep most of the time on the right side, and 25 (73.5%) confirmed that they wake up in a position different from their initial sleeping position.

In this study, 19 participants (55.9%) had the worst degree of keratoconus in the right eye; 28 right eyes (82.3) versus 21 left eyes (65.6%) were classified as degree 4 (Table 2).

We compared the Kmax values of the right and left eyes between groups to investigate the influence of three characteristics (i.e., preferred rubbing eye, preferred sleeping side, and hand dominancy). We hypothesized that the keratometric values of these characteristics would be higher in accordance with the preferred side (Table 3). Although none of the differences were statistically significant, we observed a tendency for Kmax values to be higher in accordance with the preferred side for sleeping.

**DISCUSSION**

The analysis of these data indicates the tendency between higher keratometric values and preferred side for sleeping; however, we did not observe the tendency between higher keratometric values and preferred eye for rubbing. Other studies found a relationship between keratoconus and ocular itching. We could not find a statistically significant relationship between eye rubbing and increased keratometry. However, in our daily practice, we observed that eye rubbing is strongly correlated with keratoconus.

In an investigation including only individuals with clinical unilateral keratoconus, the authors observed a tendency for patients to sleep on the same side with the eye that is most severely affected or the eye with a progressing disease. Some of these patients slept with their hand or fist positioned directly against their eyelid and were more likely to hug their pillow in a manner that caused compression around their eyes.

A study investigating the sleeping position through lisamine green staining demonstrated a difference between back sleeping and left-side sleeping (analysis of variance, p=0.005). The Ocular Surface Disease Index score was also increased in patients who slept on their right or left side (36.4 and 34.1, respectively) as opposed to those who sleep on their back (26.7) (p=0.05).

### Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants (N=34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>26 (76.5)</td>
<td>8 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular itching</td>
<td>29 (85.3)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye rubbing, preferred eye</td>
<td>Right eye</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left eye</td>
<td>6 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>18 (53.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping position, preferred side</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>7 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other position</td>
<td>13 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of position during sleep</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25 (73.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (26.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as frequency (proportion).

### Table 2. Amsler-Krumeich classification of each eye of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amsler-Krumeich classification</th>
<th>Right eye (N=34)</th>
<th>Left eye (N=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28 (82.4)</td>
<td>21 (65.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as frequency (proportion).

### Table 3. Comparison of maximum keratometry (Kmax) of right and left eyes according to the characteristics of the participants (N=34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubbing</th>
<th>Prefer right eye</th>
<th>Prefer left eye</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OD</td>
<td>59.8 ± 10.4</td>
<td>59.5 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OS</td>
<td>56.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>54.4 ± 7.0</td>
<td>0.552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleeping side</th>
<th>Prefer right side</th>
<th>Prefer left side</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OD</td>
<td>63.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>58.6 ± 7.7</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OS</td>
<td>57.7 ± 7.7</td>
<td>61.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dominant hand</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OD</td>
<td>61.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>59.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmax, OS</td>
<td>58.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>58.0 ± 10.7</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

OD= oculus dexter; OS= oculus sinister.
There was no statistically significant correlation between the sleeping position and degree of meibomian gland dysfunction\(^\text{15}\). In a Japanese study, poor sleep quality was associated with dry eye disease, especially dry eye symptoms\(^\text{16,17}\).

Normal eyelid closure has also been linked to the development of several ocular surface disorders. Sleep disorders are common; obstructive sleep apnea (the most common disorder) is associated with a number of serious systemic diseases and several eye disorders, including FES, optic neuropathy, glaucoma, anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, and papilledema secondary to increased intracranial pressure. At the onset of sleep, the lids are closed, and the position of the globes, as judged by the position of the cornea behind the closed lids, is generally elevated. Lagophthalmos may cause corneal exposure that results in pain and foreign body sensation upon waking\(^\text{18,19}\). These effects could induce eye rubbing.

In another study, Bawazeer et al. found an association between keratoconus and atopy, as well as eye rubbing and family history of keratoconus. However, in the multivariate analysis, only eye rubbing remained a significant risk factor for the development of keratoconus (odds ratio = 6.31)\(^\text{20}\). These findings support the hypothesis that eye rubbing is the most significant cause of keratoconus. Atopy may contribute to keratoconus, most probably via eye rubbing due to itching. In that study, there were no other variables significantly associated with the etiology of keratoconus\(^\text{20}\).

In a study investigating the association between corneal curvature and eye itching severity, it was verified that the most curved corneas were present in the eyes with more frequent and intense pruritus\(^\text{21}\). A series of cases also verified the asymmetric expression of keratoconus and found that individuals habitually rubbed the most affected eye\(^\text{21-23}\).

The technique used by many individuals with keratoconus to rub their eyes is usually different from that used by those without keratoconus\(^\text{24}\). Individuals with keratoconus tend to use more often the fingertips or even the distal interphalangeal joints to vigorously rub their eyes\(^\text{14}\).

An Australian study, involving 64 participants wearing contact lenses (half with keratoconus and half without corneal ectasia), found a significant increase in ocular pruritus after contact lens removal in the keratoconus group. The mean duration of pruritus was significantly longer in the group with keratoconus than without ectasia (27.7 vs. 14.4 s, respectively)\(^\text{10}\).

Recently, an increasing body of evidence suggests that inflammatory pathways may play a significant role in the development of keratoconus. Several studies have investigated the role of proteolytic enzymes, such as MMPs, in keratoconus. MMPs are involved in the degradation of the extracellular matrix or activation of cellular apoptosis\(^\text{23}\). In the human cornea, MMPs are secreted by epithelial cells, stromal cells, and neutrophils\(^\text{26}\). In keratoconus, the cornea expresses increased levels of MMP-117 and MMP-13\(^\text{27}\). The tear analysis in keratoconus has revealed increased levels of MMP-1, MMP-3, MMP-7, and MMP-13\(^\text{28}\). Increased gelatinolytic and collagenolytic activities have also been reported in the cornea\(^\text{29-31}\) and tear film of patients with keratoconus\(^\text{28}\).

MMP-9 activity is also high in the tear fluid of patients with keratoconus. Hence, the increase in MMP-9 levels is correlated with corneal thinning, probably as a result of stromal collagen degradation\(^\text{28}\). In addition, TNF-\(\alpha\) disrupts the barrier function of corneal epithelial cells. The type of cell from which the production of TNF-\(\alpha\) in keratoconus originates remains unknown. However, TNF-\(\alpha\) can be produced by a variety of cells, including all three major cell types in the cornea: the corneal epithelium, stromal kerocytes, and endothelial cells. Perhaps, corneal damage induced by environmental factors causes the production of TNF-\(\alpha\). For example, eye rubbing and dry eye disease are major risk factors for developing KC and are associated with the induction of TNF-\(\alpha\) production by corneal epithelial cells\(^\text{32-34}\).

The total tear protein level was significantly reduced in individuals with keratoconus (4.1 ± 0.9 mg/ml) compared with healthy individuals (6.7 ± 1.4 mg/ml) \((p<0.0001)\) or those who had undergone corneal collagen cross-linking (5.7 ± 2.3 mg/ml) \((p<0.005)\)\(^\text{28}\). In a study of healthy participants, there was an increase in the concentration of MMP-13 and inflammatory molecules IL-6 and TNF-\(\alpha\) after 60 s of ocular pruritus\(^\text{38}\).

The exact mechanism through which keratoconus worsens due to the mechanical trauma caused by eye rubbing or scratching has not yet been elucidated. It has been proposed that IL-1 plays a major role in this process. Wilson et al. suggested that the increased expression of the IL-1 receptor sensitizes the keratocytes to IL-1 released from the epithelium or endothelium. This effect causes loss of keratocytes through apoptosis and a decrease in stromal mass over time. This hypothesis supports that the occurrence of keratoconus is related to eye rubbing, use of contact lenses, and atopy, presuming that epithelial microtrauma leads to an increased release of IL-1 from the epithelium\(^\text{35,36}\).
Our study had some limitations. First, this study may have not been statistically powered to detect associations due to the small sample size. Second, characteristics, such as eye rubbing and sleeping side, were reported by the participants without a more objective assessment. However, our findings support the importance of allergy control and eye trauma avoidance among those at risk of developing keratoconus.

In conclusion, our study revealed a tendency of the eyes with most advanced degrees of keratoconus to be associated with allergy, eye rubbing, and preferred sleeping side.
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